No. ID/YMN/154/82/50825.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Vijay Kumar and the management of the M/s Hans Raj-Mulakh Raj, Kalyan Nagar Buria Gate, Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Paridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June. 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matters in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Vijay Kumar was justified and in order?

If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/132/82/50831,—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Surjit Singh and the management of M/s Jai Forgings and Stampings Pvt. Ltd. Industrial Area, Yamunanagar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 funder section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Surjit Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 18th November, 1982

No. ID/FD/247/82/51312.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Nand Lal and the management of M/s. Joy B. Industries, Plot No. 162, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Nand Lal was justified and in order?

If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/254/82/51319.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Arun Kumar and the management of M/s Joy B. Industries, Plot No. 162, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Arun Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?